UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

PERSONALIZED MEDIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	
Plaintiff,	
v.	
GOOGLE LLC.	Case No. 2:19-cv-90-JRG LEAD CASE
AKAMAI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No. 2:19-cv-89-JRG
NETFLIX, INC. Defendants.	Case No. 2:19-cv-91-JRG

FIRST AMENDED DOCKET CONTROL ORDER

Before the Court is the Parties' Joint Motion to Amend the Docket Control Order ("Joint Motion"). Having reviewed and considered the Joint Motion, the Court concludes that the Joint Motion should be, and is, GRANTED.

The Court ORDERS that the Docket Control Order is amended as follows:

Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline	Event
September 14, 2020	Unchanged	*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas
August 17, 2020	Unchanged	*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall , Texas before Judge Rodney Gilstrap
August 3, 2020	Unchanged	*Notify Deputy Clerk in Charge regarding the date and time by which juror questionnaires shall be presented to accompany by jury summons if the Parties desire to avail themselves the benefit of using juror questionnaires ¹

¹The Parties are referred to the Court's Standing Order Regarding Use of Juror Questionnaires in Advance of *Voir Dire*.

August 3, 2020	Unchanged	*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions <i>in limine</i> . The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.
August 3, 2020	Unchanged	*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions <i>in Limine</i> , Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations
July 27, 2020	Unchanged	*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shelly Holmes, at shelly_holmes@txed.uscourts.gov.
July 20, 2020	Unchanged	File Motions <i>in Limine</i> The parties shall limit their motions <i>in limine</i> to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.
July 20, 2020	Unchanged	Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
July 13, 2020	Unchanged	Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
June 29, 2020	Unchanged	Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof

June 22, 2020	Unchanged	*Response to Dispositive Motions (including <i>Daubert</i> Motions). Responses to dispositive motions that were filed <u>prior</u> to the dispositive motion deadline, including <i>Daubert</i> Motions, shall be due in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(e), not to exceed the deadline as set forth in this Docket Control Order. ² Motions for Summary Judgment shall comply with Local Rule CV-56.
June 8, 2020	Unchanged	*File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including Daubert Motions) No motion to strike expert testimony (including a Daubert motion) may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.
June 8, 2020	Unchanged	*File Dispositive Motions No dispositive motion may be filed after this date without leave of the Court. Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. Exceptional circumstances require more than agreement among the parties.
June 8, 2020	Unchanged	Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery
May 15, 2020	Unchanged	Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses
April 10, 2020	Unchanged	Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery
April 17, 2020	Unchanged	Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof

²The parties are directed to Local Rule CV-7(d), which provides in part that "[a] party's failure to oppose a motion in the manner prescribed herein creates a presumption that the party does not controvert the facts set out by movant and has no evidence to offer in opposition to the motion." If the deadline under Local Rule CV 7(e) exceeds the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions, the deadline for Response to Dispositive Motions controls.

March 20, 2020	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 3-7 (Opinion of Counsel Defenses)
February 27, 2020	Unchanged	*Claim Construction Hearing – 1:30 p.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Rodney Gilstrap
February 13, 2020	Unchanged	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
February 6, 2020	Unchanged	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) (Reply Claim Construction Brief)
January 31, 2020	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) (Responsive Claim Construction Brief)
January 10, 2020	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 4-5(a) (Opening Claim Construction Brief) and Submit Technical Tutorials (if any)
		Good cause must be shown to submit technical tutorials after the deadline to comply with P.R. 4-5(a).
January 31, 2020	Unchanged	Deadline to Substantially Complete Document Production and Exchange Privilege Logs
		Counsel are expected to make good faith efforts to produce all required documents as soon as they are available and not wait until the substantial completion deadline.
January 3, 2020	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 4-4 (Deadline to Complete Claim Construction Discovery)
January 3, 2019	Unchanged	File Response to Amended Pleadings
December 12, 2019	Unchanged	*File Amended Pleadings
		It is not necessary to seek leave of Court to amend pleadings prior to this deadline unless the amendment seeks to assert additional patents.
December 13, 2019	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 4-3 (Joint Claim Construction Statement)
November 26, 2019	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 4-2 (Exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions)

November 5, 2019	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 4-1 (Exchange Proposed Claim Terms)
September 13, 2019	Unchanged	Comply with P.R. 3-3 & 3-4 (Invalidity Contentions)
July 22, 2019	August 1, 2019	*File Proposed Protective Order and Comply with Paragraphs 1 & 3 of the Discovery Order (Initial and Additional Disclosures) The Proposed Protective Order shall be filed as a separate motion with the caption indicating whether or not the proposed order is opposed in any part.

^(*) indicates a deadline that cannot be changed without showing good cause. Good cause is not shown merely by indicating that the parties agree that the deadline should be changed.

ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS

<u>Mediation:</u> While certain cases may benefit from mediation, such may not be appropriate for every case. The Court finds that the Parties are best suited to evaluate whether mediation will benefit the case after the issuance of the Court's claim construction order. Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Parties to file a Joint Notice indicating whether the case should be referred for mediation <u>within</u> <u>fourteen days of the issuance of the Court's claim construction order</u>. As a part of such Joint Notice, the Parties should indicate whether they have a mutually agreeable mediator for the Court to consider. If the Parties disagree about whether mediation is appropriate, the Parties should set forth a brief statement of their competing positions in the Joint Notice.

<u>Motions</u>: For each motion, the moving party shall provide the Court with two (2) hard copies of the completed briefing (opening motion, response, reply, and if applicable, sur-reply), excluding exhibits, in D-three-ring binders, appropriately tabbed. All documents shall be single-sided and must include the CM/ECF header. These copies shall be delivered to the Court within three (3) business days after briefing has completed. For expert-related motions, complete digital copies of the relevant expert report(s) and accompanying exhibits shall submitted on a single flash drive to the Court. Complete digital copies of the expert report(s) shall be delivered to the Court no later than the dispositive motion deadline.

<u>Indefiniteness</u>: In lieu of early motions for summary judgment, the parties are directed to include any arguments related to the issue of indefiniteness in their *Markman* briefing, subject to the local rules' normal page limits.

<u>Motions for Continuance</u>: The following excuses will not warrant a continuance nor justify a failure to comply with the discovery deadline:

- (a) The fact that there are motions for summary judgment or motions to dismiss pending;
- (b) The fact that one or more of the attorneys is set for trial in another court on the same day, unless the other setting was made prior to the date of this order or was made as a special provision for the parties in the other case;

(c) The failure to complete discovery prior to trial, unless the parties can demonstrate that it was impossible to complete discovery despite their good faith effort to do so.

Amendments to the Docket Control Order ("DCO"): Any motion to alter any date on the DCO shall take the form of a motion to amend the DCO. The motion to amend the DCO shall include a proposed order that lists all of the remaining dates in one column (as above) and the proposed changes to each date in an additional adjacent column (if there is no change for a date the proposed date column should remain blank or indicate that it is unchanged). In other words, the DCO in the proposed order should be complete such that one can clearly see all the remaining deadlines and the changes, if any, to those deadlines, rather than needing to also refer to an earlier version of the DCO.

Proposed DCO: The Parties' Proposed DCO should also follow the format described above under "Amendments to the Docket Control Order ('DCO')."

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 22nd day of July, 2019.

RODNEY GILSTRAP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE